This article was downloaded by: [Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radio]

On: 20 February 2013, At: 13:19

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH,

UK



# Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl16">http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl16</a>

# Apolar Acetylenic Liquid Crystals

Martin Petrzilka a

<sup>a</sup> Central Research Units, F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Co., Ltd., Ch-4002, Basle Version of record first published: 20 Apr 2011.

To cite this article: Martin Petrzilka (1984): Apolar Acetylenic Liquid Crystals,

Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals, 111:3-4, 347-358

To link to this article: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268948408072443">http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00268948408072443</a>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1984, Vol. 111, pp. 347-358 0026-8941/84/1114-0347/\$20.00/0 © 1984 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, Inc. Printed in the United States of America

# **Apolar Acetylenic Liquid Crystals**

## MARTIN PETRZILKA

Central Research Units, F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Co., Ltd., Ch-4002 Basle

(Received April 26, 1984)

A large number of bicyclic<sup>†</sup>, apolar, acetylenic mesogens with the C, C-triple bond situated either in the central core unit or in the terminal chains have been synthesized. Where possible, their nematic or smectic thermal stabilities are compared with those of the corresponding dimethylene-bridged analogs.

## INTRODUCTION

In the preceeding communication<sup>1</sup>, we reported acetylenic nitriles as purely nematic liquid crystals with attractive meso-ranges. It was found, that insertion of a C, C-triple bond into the core or especially in the polar end group leads in general to nematogens with remarkably enhanced  $T_{\rm N-I}$ -values whereas other typical properties remain more or less unaffected.

Bearing in mind that apolar liquid crystals usually exhibit lower viscosities than their polar counterparts, the question arose as to whether introduction of acetylene units into such systems would give a similar result with regard to transition temperatures. To answer this question, we needed a substantial number of acetylenic analogs of typical apolar mesogens such as phenylcyclohexanes (PCH), phenylcyclohexylethanes (PECH), esters etc... Since, with the exception of tolanes, virtually nothing is known from the literature about such compounds, all of the acetylenes, as well as many of the corresponding dimethylene analogs is listed in Tables I–V have been prepared.

<sup>†</sup>The word bicyclic refers in this communication to compounds, which contain two six-membered rings ( $C_6H_4$  or  $C_6H_{10}$ ).

<sup>‡</sup>These reference compounds have been prepared by hydrogenation of the corresponding acetylenes.

<sup>§</sup> For part of the experimental results see Ref. 1b.

TABLE I

$X: C \equiv C$ $X: CH_2 - CH_2$	$R_1$ $R_2$ No. $T_m$ $T_c$ $T_c$ - $T_m$ S/N $T_m$ $T_c$ $T_c$ - $T_m$ S/N remarks	H 1 50,6 78,5 27,9 N 42,0 (29,0) -13.0	CH, 2 82,8 92,1 9,3 N 33,2 (30,4) -2,8	$CH_3$ 3 50,2 89.0 38,8 N 26,3 (23.1) -3.2		$C_2H_5$ 5 50,1 58,2 8.1 N 24,9 (19,0) -5,8	50,5 9,1 N 33,3 34,1 0,8	$C_{3}H_{7}$ $CH_{3}$ $K_{3}H_{1}$ $CH_{3}$ $K_{4}H_{1}$ $CH_{3}$ $K_{5}H_{11}$ $CH_{3}$ $K_{5}H_{12}$ $CH_{3}$ $K_{5}H_{13}$ $CH_{3}$
	Compound R <sub>1</sub>	R, ← COO ← N − X − R <sub>2</sub> C, H <sub>11</sub>		C4H,	CHI	$C_4H_9$	$C_4H_9$	R;————————————————————————————————————

	8,	!
7	4; $T_{S-N} = 37, 5$	5
z	s N/s	ZZ
13,0 N	14,5 28,5	11,9
45,0	35,0 52,5	48, 7 (40, 5)
22, 0	N 20,5 24,0	36,8
Z		ZZ
- 15,3	- 13,7 - 75,2	7,3 -20,1
H 10 49,8 (34,5) -15,3 N 22,0 45,0	(40,5) [-42,6]	74,3 (46,2)
49,8	H 11 54,2 C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> 12 32,6	67, 0 66, 3
10	11	13
Н	н С <sub>3</sub> Н <sub>7</sub>	COOCH <sub>3</sub>
$C_6H_{13}$	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>11</sub> C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub>	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>
$R_1$ $\longrightarrow$ $00C$ $\longrightarrow$ $X-R_2$ $C_6H_{13}$	$R_1 \longrightarrow OOC \longrightarrow X-R_2 C_3H_{11}$	$R_1$ $\longrightarrow$ $X$ $\longleftarrow$ $A_2$

<sup>a</sup>Abbreviations used in Tables 1–5  $T_m = \text{melting point} (^{\circ}C)$   $T_c = \text{clearing point} (^{\circ}C)$   $T_c = \text{clearing point} (^{\circ}C)$   $T_c = \text{clearing point} (^{\circ}C)$ a monotropic transition temperature is denoted by ( ) bracket around the recorded temperature, whilst a virtual value is signified by a [ ] bracket).  $T_c + T_m = \text{meso-range}$ ; a negative sign indicates monotropy  $S_c + T_c = \text{mesomorphic behaviour} (S_c = \text{smectic}, N_c = \text{nematic})$ by a mesomorphic behaviour (S\_c = smectic, N\_c = \text{nematic})  $S_c + T_c = \text{mesomorphic behaviour} (S_c = \text{smectic}, N_c = \text{nematic})$ by for or eference is given, the indicated results originate from this work.

CFOT polymorphic compounds, the smectic-nematic transition temperatures  $(T_{S_c} + T_c)$  are listed in this row.

For simplification only bicyclic acetylenes are taken into consideration; in the following text, these are divided into five classes. As a rule, the ethynylene unit may be (part of) a terminal end group or constitute the linking element of two six-membered ring systems. Tolanes are excluded.

# 1. ACETYLENIC ESTERS

Table I summarizes the results obtained from the newly prepared compounds. Where known the transition temperatures of the corresponding hydrogenated esters have been included. Within the series of p-1-alkynylphenol esters (I-6), all members exhibit enantiotropic nematic behaviour. In all cases, a direct comparison with the corresponding hydrogenated esters ( $CH_2$ - $CH_2$  linked) demonstrates that here the replacement of a dimethylene unit by a C, C-triple bond leads to a strong increase of the  $T_{N-1}$ -values. Upon variation of the chain length  $R_1$  (cf. esters 2-4), alternation of both the melting and the clearing temperatures is observed. A noticeable feature is the relatively low melting point of 3; this procures an attractive mesorange for this compound. Alternatively, if the chain length  $R_2$  is varied (cf. esters 3, 5 and 6), a decrease in the melting and clearing points is noticed as the chain becomes longer; an optimum effect seems to be reached with  $R_2$  =  $CH_3$  (ester 3).

As expected, representatives of p-1-alkynylbenzoates (7–9) exhibit a lower tendency to form enantiotropic phases because of their greater ability to give rotation around the internal ester bond. Elongation of  $\mathbf{R}_1$  (7–9) leads to a continuous increase of the melting points and to alternation of the  $T_{N-1}$ -values, which, compared with the corresponding dimethylene analogs are still raised considerably.

As witnessed by the data for esters 10-12, little or no improvement in the mesomorphic properties results from introduction of an acetylene unit into a terminal chain attached to a cyclohexyl ring.

Finally, the possibility of using the C, C-triple bond as a linking element in the core system exists. With regard to the transition temperatures of the corresponding hydrogenated ester, in the case of benzoate 13, a marked increase in both  $T_{\rm m}$  und  $T_{\rm c}$  is apparent, whereas for the phenol ester 14, this effect is less pronounced.

TABLE II

Comparison of the transition temperatures of acetylenic biphenyls and phenylcyclohexanes with those of their hydrogenated counterparts<sup>a</sup>

					$X: C \equiv C$	C				X: CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub>	-CH <sub>2</sub>	
Compound	يم	$\mathbf{R}_2$	No.	$T_{\rm m}$	$T_{\rm c}$	$T_{\rm c}$ - $T_{\rm m}$	S/N	Tm	$T_{\rm c}$	T <sub>c</sub> -T <sub>m</sub>	s/N	Lit./remarks
7, X-R2	CHII	Н	15	56,4	82, 7	26,3	s s		33,9		ss s	
	111750	C11.3	2	6	r (2)	( , , , ,	,				נ	
1 - X - R <sub>2</sub>	C,H,	Н	17	38,9	[38, 0]	-0,9		-1,5	[-75,0]	-73,5		7
	$C_5H_{11}$	Н	82	39,4	42, 1	2,7	Z	5,5	[-35,0]	-40,5		
	$C_{7}H_{15}$	Η	19	37,6	47,5	6,6	Z	14,8	[-19,0]	-33,8		
	$C_3H_7$	$CH_3$	70	45, 1	53, 7	8,6	z	- 11,5/	[-42, 0]	-37,9		
	$C_{\xi}H_{11}$	СН	21	41,6	6,49	23,3	z		(-11,9)	-2,6	Z	
	$C_7H_{15}$	CH3	22	43, 5	8 '99	23,3	z	-1,2/	7,7	0,7	S/N	$T_{\text{S-N}} = 6,5$
	C,H,,	$C_3H_{\chi}$	23	29,5	31,4	1.9	z	, O.				
	$C_5H_{11}$	$C_3H_7$	*	20,0	31,3	11,3	z	-0,8	(-5,0)	-4,2	s/N	$S/N$ 8; $T_{S-N} = -8,0$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>For the abbreviations used and additional remarks see Table I, footnotes a-c.

<sup>b</sup>These compounds could not be crystallized even at low temperatures.

<sup>c</sup>Different crystalline modifications were observed. For the estimation of the meso-ranges  $T_c$ - $T_m$ , the higher melting points ( $T_m$ ) were taken.

# 2. ACETYLENIC BIPHENYLS AND PHENYLCYCLOHEXANES (PCH)

This section covers compounds of the general structure I; the transition temperatures of which are summarized in Table II.

$$R_1$$
  $A$   $X - R_2$ 

Ring A = phenyl, cyclohexyl

$$R_1, R_2 = H$$
 or alkyl

In contrast to the smectic biphenylacetylenes 15 and 16, acetylenic representatives of the phenylcyclohexane series exhibit exclusively enantiotropic nematic behaviour. The  $T_{\rm c}$ -values of the latter are increased by up to 110°C if compared with their hydrogenated counterparts (e.g. 17 or 20). With regard to substitution at the C, C-triple bond, the propynyl chain clearly induces the highest clearing points (cf. 21 with 18, 23 or 24), an observation already made in the ester series. The influence of the length of the other chain  $R_1$  on the  $T_{\rm N-I}$ -values seems to be less pronounced (e.g. 20–22), although we have only prepared odd-membered representatives.

In summary, liquid crystalline hydrocarbons have been found which exhibit attractive mesoranges.<sup>†</sup>

# 3. ACETYLENIC PHENYLCYCLOHEXYLETHANES (PECH)

Being aware of the often closely similar properties of phenylcyclohexylethanes (PECH) and phenylcyclohexanes (PCH), we have now prepared a number of representatives of the title compounds (Table III).

With regard to their phase transition temperatures, the following conclusions can be drawn:

a. In comparison with the PCH-analogs (cf. Table II), the  $T_{\rm N-1}$ -values of the new compounds are similar (cf. 26 with 18, 27 with 19,

<sup>†</sup>Additional physical properties of the new acetylenic liquid crystals will be discussed elsewhere.

TABLE III

Comparison of the transition temperatures of acetylenic phenylcyclohexylethanes with those of their hydrogenated counterparts<sup>a</sup>

			8		$X: C \equiv C$	C				X: CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub>	
Compound	R	$\mathbf{R}_2$	No.	$T_{m}$	$T_{\rm c}$	$T_{\rm c}$ - $T_{\rm m}$	S/N	$T_{\mathrm{m}}$	$T_{\rm c}$	T <sub>c</sub> -T <sub>m</sub>	s/N	Lit./remarks
$R_1 \leftarrow CH_2CH_2 \leftarrow V - R_2$	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>9</sub>	H;	52	10,0	25,2	15,2	Z					
)	ე L	Ξ	76	25, 5	42, 5	17,0	Z					
	$C_7H_{15}$	Н	77	29, 9	49,3	19,4	Z	18, 2	(13, 1)	-5,1	Z	
	$\mathrm{C}_4\mathrm{H}_9$	$CH_3$	28	33, 1	38,6	5,5	Z					
	$C_{5}H_{11}$	$CH_3$	53	46,8	55,3	8,5	Z	4,5	18,0	13,5	S	6
	$C_7H_{15}$	CH,	30	4, 4	58,0	13,6	Z	9,5	30,5	21,0	S	
	$C_4H_9$	C,H,	31	6, 1	9,5	3,4	Z					
	$C_aH_o$	C,H,	32	14,3	22,6	8,3	S					
	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	$C_3H_7$	33	37,8	(30, 9)	6,9	S	9,7	30,4	20,7	S	6
	;	-	;									
11 - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V - V -	ב ה ה	בם	¥ %	30,0 5				4.0	8 9	2 5	7	$0 \cdot T_{2.5} = 0$
	0C,H,	CH,	3,8	21,6	25.3	3,7	S	25,5	45,0	19,5		2.6
	£ - †	,	:							,		
$R_1 \leftarrow CH_2CH_2 \leftarrow X-R_2$	$C_5H_{11}$	H	37	51,2	0,89	16,8	Z	2,5	76,0	73,5	S	

<sup>a</sup>For the abbreviations used and additional remarks see Table I, footnotes a-c.

TABLE IV

Comparison of the transition temperatures of phenylcyclohexylacetylenes with those of their hydrogenated counterparts<sup>a</sup>

		!			$X: C \equiv C$	С				X: (	X: CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub>	
Compounds	R	$\mathbf{R}_2$	No.	$T_{\rm m}$	$T_{c}$	$T_{\rm c}$ - $T_{\rm m}$	s/N	$T_{\rm m}$		$T_{c}$ - $T_{m}$	$T_c T_c - T_m S/N$	Lit./remarks
RIA XX YRS	$C_5H_{11}$	Η	38	17, 1	}	1		-2.0		1		
	$C_{\mathbf{H}_{11}}$	CH	33	39, 6	ļ	l		_				
	C,H,	C,H,	4	30, 1	(25, 0)	-5, 1	z	9,5	30,0	20, 5	S	6
	CH.	OCH,	4	30,9	45,7	14,8	z	31,0	33,0	2,0	Z	6
	$C_{\mathcal{H}_{12}}$	0С,Н,	42	70, 4	75,3	4,9	Z	18/27 <sup>b</sup>	47,0	20,0	N/S	9: $T_{SN} = 8.0$
	$C_{\rm H_{11}}$	OC, H,	43	65, 1	(80,8)	-4,3	Z	24,5	33,5	9,0	S/N	9: $T_{S-N} = 32.5$
	$C_5H_{11}$	OC₄H <sub>9</sub>	4	4,3	70,0	25,7	z	25,5	45,0	19,5	S/N	9; $T_{S-N} = 44$

<sup>a</sup> For the abbreviations used and additional remarks see Table I, footnotes a-c. <sup>b</sup> Different crystalline modifications were observed. For the estimation of the meso-range  $T_c$ - $T_m$ , the higher melting point  $(T_m)$  was

29 with 21, 30 with 22 or 33 with 24), the highest clearing points typically being induced by propynyl substituents.

- b. In marked contrast to the PCH-analogs 17-19 are the lower melting points of the unsubstituted acetylenes 25-27. As a consequence the latter exhibit a broader meso-range than the corresponding methyl-substituted representatives (cf. 25 with 28, 26 with 29 and 27 with 30).
- c. The tendency to nematic behaviour is somewhat less pronounced than in the PCH-series; however, it is still more distinct than for the corresponding hydrogenated counterparts (e.g. 29, 30).

As in the ester series, a few representatives of cyclohexylacetylenes have been prepared for completeness. With the exception of the bicyclohexylacetylene 37, which surprisingly exhibits purely nematic behaviour, these compounds do not seem to offer advantages over the hydrogenated analogs (e.g. 35 and 36) with regard to transition temperatures.

# 4. PHENYLCYCLOHEXYLACETYLENES (PCA)

Although both polar and apolar tolanes have been known for a long time, <sup>10</sup> to our knowledge no members of the title class have been synthesized until now. The compounds prepared are presented in Table IV and compared with the corresponding dimethylene systems.

As can be seen from these data, dialkyl-substituted members of this family (38-40) have a considerably lower tendency to form mesophases than alkylalkoxy-substituted derivatives (41-44). However, the latter show high  $T_{\rm N-I}$ -values and exclusively nematic behaviour. Since in comparison with the corresponding dimethylene compounds, both melting and clearing points are raised, the resulting meso-ranges are comparable.

# 5. APOLAR ACETYLENIC PYRIMIDINES AND DIOXANS

Since we have found earlier<sup>1</sup> that insertion of a C, C-triple bond into cyanopyrimidines and cyanodioxans leads to a marked increase in the  $T_c$ -values, we were interested to know if a similar effect arose for apolar members of these heterocyclic classes of mesogens. For this purpose, the compounds listed in Table V have been prepared and compared with their hydrogenated analogs or alternatively with the corresponding carbocyclic systems.

TABLE V

Comparison of the transition temperatures of acetylenic pyrimidines and dioxans with those of their carbocyclic analogs<sup>a</sup>

	'			$X\colon C\equiv C$	C			X: C	X: CH <sub>2</sub> -CH <sub>2</sub>		
Compound R <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbb{R}_2$	No.	T <sub>m</sub>	$T_{\rm c}$	$R_1$ $R_2$ No. $T_m$ $T_c$ $T_c$ - $T_m$ S/N	S/N	$T_{\rm m}$	$T_{\rm c}$	$T_{\rm c}$ - $T_{\rm m}$ S/N Lit.	S/N	Lit.
$R_1 - \left\{\begin{array}{c} N \\ - N \end{array}\right\} - X - R_2 C_5 H_{11} $ H		45	<b>45</b> 72, 3		l	1					
$R_1$ $\leftarrow$	CH, CH,	46 47 48	110, 5 69, 8 87, 8	46 110,5 [39,0] -47 69,8 [67,0] -48 87,8 [67,0]	-71.5 -21,8		45, 3	45,3 [-17,0] -62,3	-62,3		
$R_1 \leftarrow X - R_2 C_5 H_{11}$ H	н	51	56,4	82,7	15 56,4 82,7 26,3 S	S	4	33,9		s	
$R_1 \leftarrow C \leftarrow C_2 = C_5H_{11} = CH_3 = C_4,6 = 64,9$	СН3	21	41,6	64,9		z	-9,6	23,3 N -9,6 -11,9 -2,6	-2,6		

 $^{\rm a}{\rm For}$  the abbreviations used and additional remarks see Table I, footnotes a–c.  $^{\rm b}{\rm This}$  compound could not be crystallized even at low temperatures.

None of these compounds showed either enantiotropic or monotropic mesomorphic behaviour. In the case of dioxan 48, a virtual clearing point was determined and found to be in the same region as that of the PCH-system 21. On the other hand, introduction of heteroatoms led to an increase in the melting points, an observation which was also made in the case of pyrimidineacetylene 45 (cf. with 15).

## CONCLUSIONS

Replacement of a dimethylene unit by a C, C-triple bond at different positions in well-established apolar LC-materials leads to new liquid crystals with mesophases of generally higher thermal stability. The degree of increase in the  $T_{\rm N-I}$ -values seems to depend on the location of the ethynylene unit. Thus, whereas phenylacetylenes exhibit clearing temperatures raised by up to  $100^{\circ}$ C, cyclohexylacetylenes differ little from their hydrogenated analogs.

Throughout all classes investigated, a strong tendency to formation of *nematic* mesophases was noticed.

# **EXPERIMENTAL**

The new products investigated were shown to be > 99% pure by various techniques (tlc, glc, thermoanalysis). Their transition temperatures (cf. Tables I-V) were determined using a Mettler DTA 2000 and are corrected.

## Acknowledgements

I wish to thank Miss S. Schmiederer and Mr. J. Reichardt for their competent collaboration during the preparation of the new compounds and Mr. F. Wild and Mr. B. Halm for carrying out the differential thermal analyses.

#### References

- 1. M. Petrzilka, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 111, 329 (1984).
- M. Petrzilka, German Patent Application DOS 32 46 440 (1983), CA, 100, 94603g;
   British Patent Publication 21 11 992 (1983).
- H.-J. Deutscher, B. Laaser, W. Dölling and H. Schubert, J. prakt. Chem., 320, 191 (1979).
- 3. M. A. Osman, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 82, 47 (1982).

- 4. H.-J. Deutscher, M. Körber and H. Schubert, Adv. in Liq. Cryst. Res. and Appl. (Pergamon Press, Oxford 1980), p. 1075.
- 5. Unpublished results of R. Marbet.
- 6. Unpublished results of A. Villiger.
- R. Eidenschink, D. Erdmann, J. Krause and L. Pohl, 10th Freiburger Arbeitstagung Flüssigkristalle (1980).
- 8. M. A. Osman, Z. Naturforsch. 38a, 693 (1983).
- R. Rich, A. Villiger and E. Widmer, DOS 32 01 721 (1982); M. Schadt, M. Petrzilka, P. R. Gerber, A. Villiger and G. Trickes, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 94, 139 (1983).
- J. Malthete, M. Leclercq, M. Drolaitzky, J. Gabard, J. Billard, V. Poutikis and J. Jacques, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 23, 233 (1973); J. C. Dubois, A. Zann and A. Couttet, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 27, 187 (1974); G. W. Gray and A. Mosley, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 37, 213 (1976); R. J. Cox and N. J. Clecak, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 37, 241 (1976).